



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

David E. Dougherty  
Dennison, Schultz & Dougherty  
1727 King Street  
Suite 105  
Alexandria VA 22314

**COPY MAILED**

**NOV 08 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Nishibori, et al.  
Application No. 09/689,831  
Filed: October 13, 2000  
Attorney Docket No. 3170/2  
For: RESIN CULTIVATING BASE, WATER  
PURIFYING DEVICE AND METHOD USING RESIN  
CULTIVATING BASE

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition 37 CFR 1.181, requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment of the above-identified application. The petition was filed on September 15, 2005.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.181 is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted.

This application was held abandoned for failure to timely submit formal drawings within three months of the mailing of the March 18, 2002 Notice of Allowability. Applicants submitted a completed Part B-Fee(s) Transmittal, the issue fee, and 4 sheets of corrected formal drawings on June 18, 2002. These documents are present in the application file. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on July 23, 2002.

Petitioners argue that a corrected formal drawings were timely filed. However, the March 18, 2002 Notice of Allowability required corrected drawings including (1) changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) and (2) changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed on February 11, 2002, which was approved by the Examiner.

Petitioners timely responded to the second above-listed requirement. Petitioners appear **not** to have responded to the Draftsman's request for correction of the left margins of Figures 1-3 and 11-14, as set forth in the PTO-948.

Although the PTO attempts to notify parties as to defective papers in order to permit timely refiling, it has no obligation to do so. See In re Colombo Inc., 33 USPQ2d 1530, 1532. (Comm'r Pat. 1994). Rather, it is the applicants who are ultimately responsible for filing proper documents. Id. That

The petition under 37 CFR 1.181 is dismissed.

Petitioners are urged to file a petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(b), the unintentional standard.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

**By mail:** Mail Stop PETITION  
Commissioner for Patents  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**By hand:** U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petition  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

**By FAX:** (571) 273-8300 - ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.



E. Shirene Willis  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy